FOIAb3b Approved For Release : CIA-RDP75 EWSWEEK MAY 2 2 1961 Min . * **

CPYRGHT

FOREIGN POLICY:

Harvard vs. Harvard?

Taking out a five-column advertise-ment in The New York Times no fewer than 41 Harvard educators—most of them eminent full professor—nighed an Open Letter, to President Keinedy ast week,

calling him to account or the ill-lated U.S. intervention in Cuta.

In temperate Carlabrigian prose, the Harvard men' (there also wore a prinkling of signers from M. as Bostom University, and Branders) deployed not only the bounding in Cuba him and respect to bungling in Cuby Bills ' hald consil to

a dangerous trend in U.S. policy Your threat, they told the fresident to impose our will a the Ciribbean whatever the wishes of the other American states, and in violation of inter-American agreements his spound wide spread supplicion that the U.S. will teorient its foreign policy in the direction

reorient its loreign policy in the direction of Soviet-style power policies.

But since the President and isory staff on the Cuban adventure fairly teemed with former Harvard (with prinkling of MIT) men-people I. Arthur Schlesinger Jr. McCessige Jy, and Richard M. Bissell Ir was his in effect, Harvard vs. Harvard C. Jourly, mose who signed the five is citier (and who dug down in there or contacts for the \$2,880 cost of the live is not) said it certainly hadn't seek and that way.

David E. Owen, Harvard

David E. Owen, Harrard professor of history and political who taught both Bundy and when they were undergraduated mented that one of the main purious the letter was to arouse public till sion of the Cuban episods.

I signed it because It fought.

put author Dayid ("The Lonely Crowd") Riesman, who is a professor of speial science at Harvard, ventured an explanation of what went wrong with

the thinkers in Washington: It's part of the national cult of will power-the feeling that we can undo all the bad in the world by being strong :

As for the ex-Harvard men in Washington, Riesman implied that they momentarily lost their intellectual bearings by coming too close to government.
They were overawed," he said, by

inside information."